

Learning Dynamics: Tools and Conceptual Issues

Bruce Preston
Columbia University
and
Federal Reserve Bank of New York

April 2, 2007

Motivation

- Two foundations of rational expectations equilibrium analysis
 - Optimization
 - Mutual consistency of beliefs
- Strong knowledge assumptions
 - Agents know more than the modeler

Scientific Method

- Principle components
 - Maintained theories
 - Procedures for collecting data
 - Procedures for confronting theory with data
 - Procedures for updating theory given discrepancy between theory and data

Bounded Rationality: Learning Dynamics

- Attempts to place the agent and modeler on equal footing
- Agents might proceed as:
 - Classical econometrician: know model but unsure about parameters
 - Bayesian econometrician: unsure about model and parameters but understand how they are unsure

Learning Dynamics

- Analyze broad class of model that relaxes the second pillar of REE analysis
 - Retain optimization
 - Permit non-rational expectations
- Beware of theorists bearing free parameters!
 - Constrain the analysis by requiring the procedure to nest rational expectations

Why learning?

- Permits analysis of a broader class of problems
- May resolve/elucidate
 - Questions of indeterminacy
 - Questions of robustness
 - Questions of dynamics
 - Questions of regime change

Lecture Objectives: Lecture 1

- Mathematical foundations
 - Learning renders model dynamics self-referential
 - Requires new set of tools to analyze model properties
- Conceptual issues in modeling multi-period decision problems

Lecture Objectives: Lecture 2

- Applications
 - Central Bank communication
 - Empirical modeling of macroeconomic dynamics
 - Policy design and interaction

A Simple Model

- Consider a variant of the Cobweb model

$$p_t = \mu + \alpha E_{t-1} p_t + \delta w_{t-1} + \eta_t \quad (1)$$

where $\mu, \alpha, \delta > 0$; w_t and exogenous process; and η_t a bounded iid disturbance

- Unique bounded solution

$$p_t = \bar{a} + \bar{b} w_{t-1} + \eta_t$$

where

$$\bar{a} = (1 - \alpha)^{-1} \mu \text{ and } \bar{b} = (1 - \alpha)^{-1} \delta$$

Statistical Learning

- Suppose agents forecast p_t as a linear function

$$\hat{E}_{t-1} p_t = a_{t-1} + b_{t-1} w_{t-1} \quad (2)$$

where $\{a_{t-1}, b_{t-1}\}$ are currently maintained beliefs

- Price dynamics then

$$p_t = (\mu + \alpha a_{t-1}) + (\delta + \alpha b_{t-1}) w_{t-1} + \eta_t$$

- Self-referential system
- Data generating process is non-stationary

Least Squares Learning

- Beliefs $\{a_{t-1}, b_{t-1}\}$ estimates using data $\{p_i, w_i\}_{i=0}^{i=t-1}$
- Ordinary least squares implies

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_{t-1} \\ b_{t-1} \end{pmatrix} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{t-1} z_{i-1} z'_{i-1} \right)^{-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{t-1} z_{i-1} p_i \right) \quad (3)$$

where $z_i = [1 \quad w_i]$

- Fully specified system: relations (1) - (3)

Question

- Under what conditions do $a_t \rightarrow \bar{a}$ and $b_t \rightarrow \bar{b}$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$?

Theorem

- Consider the model (1) - (3). If $\alpha < 1$ then $(a_t, b_t) \rightarrow (\bar{a}, \bar{b})$ with probability one. If $\alpha > 1$ then convergence occurs with probability zero.
 - Property $\alpha < 1$ referred to as expectational stability
 - E-stability principle governed by mapping between agent beliefs and true model coefficients

E-stability principle

- Recall

$$\hat{E}_{t-1} p_t = a_{t-1} + b_{t-1} w_{t-1} \quad (4)$$

and

$$p_t = (\mu + \alpha a_{t-1}) + (\delta + \alpha b_{t-1}) w_{t-1} + \eta_t$$

- Latter implies optimal rational forecast

$$E_{t-1} p_t = (\mu + \alpha a_{t-1}) + (\delta + \alpha b_{t-1}) w_{t-1}$$

Mapping Defined

- Agents beliefs and optimal forecast define the mapping

$$T \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mu + \alpha a \\ \delta + \alpha b \end{pmatrix}$$

- An REE is a fixed point of this mapping
- Application of method of undetermined coefficients

E-stability principle II

- Define the associated ordinary differential equation

$$\frac{d}{d\tau} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix} = T \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} \mu + \alpha a \\ \delta + \alpha b \end{pmatrix}$$

where τ is notional time

- Local stability properties govern E-stability principle

E-stability principle III

- In particular, the REE is E-stable if and only if the ODE is local stable at (\bar{a}, \bar{b})
- Hence

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{da}{d\tau} &= \mu + (\alpha - 1) a \\ \frac{db}{d\tau} &= \delta + (\alpha - 1) b\end{aligned}$$

requires $\alpha < 1$

Recursive Least Squares

- Ordinary least squares regression can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_t &= \phi_{t-1} + t^{-1} R_t z_{t-1} (p_t - \phi'_{t-1} z_{t-1}) \\ R_t &= R_{t-1} + t^{-1} (z_{t-1} z'_{t-1} - R_{t-1})\end{aligned}$$

where $\phi_t = [a_t \ b_t]'$

Recursive Least Squares II

- Since

$$\begin{aligned} p_t &= (\mu + \alpha a_{t-1}) + (\delta + \alpha b_{t-1}) w_{t-1} + \eta_t \\ &= T(\phi_{t-1})' z_{t-1} + \eta_t \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\phi_t = \phi_{t-1} + t^{-1} R_t^{-1} z_{t-1} \left(z_{t-1}' \left(T(\phi_{t-1}) - \phi_{t-1} \right) + \eta_t \right) \quad (5)$$

$$R_t = R_{t-1} + t^{-1} \left(z_{t-1} z_{t-1}' - R_{t-1} \right) \quad (6)$$

– Question: does this system converge??

Stochastic Approximation Methods

- Provide results characterizing convergence of such systems
 - Ljung (1977), Marcet and Sargent (1989)
- Consider stochastic recursive algorithm

$$\theta_t = \theta_{t-1} + \gamma_t Q(t, \theta_{t-1}, X_t)$$

where

θ_t - parameter estimates (a_t, b_t, R_t)

X_t - state vector (effects of p_t, z_t and η_t)

γ_t - deterministic sequence of gains (t^{-1})

- Stochastic approximation approach associates the ODE

$$\frac{d\theta}{d\tau} = h(\theta(\tau))$$

where

$$h(\theta) \equiv \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} EQ(t, \theta, X_t)$$

- E denotes the expectation of $Q(t, \theta, X_t)$ with respect to the invariant distribution of X_t for fixed θ

Stochastic Approximation Results

- Under suitable assumptions:
 - If $\bar{\theta}$ is a locally stable equilibrium point of the ODE, then $\bar{\theta}$ is a possible point of convergence of the SRA
 - If $\bar{\theta}$ is not a locally stable equilibrium point of the ODE, then $\bar{\theta}$ is not a possible point of convergence of the SRA. That is $\theta_t \rightarrow \bar{\theta}$ with probability zero.

Technical Assumptions

- Stochastic approximation results rely on assumptions regarding
 - Regularity conditions on Q
 - Conditions on the rate at which $\gamma_t \rightarrow 0$
 - Assumptions on the properties of X_t
 - * Evans and Honkapohja (2001, Chapter 6 & 7)

Example Revisited

- To write our RLS algorithm in SRA form define $S_{t-1} \equiv R_t$ and write (5) and (6) as

$$\phi_t = \phi_{t-1} + t^{-1} S_{t-1}^{-1} z_{t-1} \left(z'_{t-1} \left(T(\phi_{t-1}) - \phi_{t-1} \right) + \eta_t \right)$$

$$S_t = S_{t-1} + t^{-1} \left(\frac{t}{t+1} \right) \left(z_t z'_t - S_{t-1} \right)$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_t &= \text{vec}(\phi_t, S_t) \\ X_t &= [\mathbf{1} \ w_t \ w_{t-1} \ \eta_t]' \\ \gamma_t &= t^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

Example Revisited II

- Hence

$$Q_\phi(t, \theta_{t-1}, X_t) = S_{t-1}^{-1} z_{t-1} \left(z'_{t-1} \left(T(\phi_{t-1}) - \phi_{t-1} \right) + \eta_t \right)$$

$$Q_S(t, \theta_{t-1}, X_t) = \text{vec} \left(\left(\frac{t}{t+1} \right) (z_t z'_t - S_{t-1}) \right)$$

- To do: compute the associated ODE!
- Fix θ_t and compute expectation over X_t

Example Revisited III

- Fixing (ϕ, S) implies

$$h_{\phi}(\phi, S) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} ES^{-1} z_{t-1} \left(z'_{t-1} (T(\phi) - \phi) + \eta_t \right)$$

$$h_S(\phi, S) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} E \left(\frac{t}{t+1} \right) (z_t z'_t - S)$$

- Since

$$E z_t z'_t = E z_{t-1} z'_{t-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \Omega \end{bmatrix} \equiv M$$

where $\Omega = E [w_t w'_t]$; $E z_{t-1} \eta_t = 0$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{t}{t+1} = 1$

$$h_{\phi}(\phi, S) = S^{-1} M (T(\phi) - \phi)$$

$$h_S(\phi, S) = M - S$$

- The Associated ODE is then

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d\phi}{d\tau} &= S^{-1}M(T(\phi) - \phi) \\ \frac{dS}{d\tau} &= M - S\end{aligned}$$

- The latter is globally stable for any initial $S: S \rightarrow M$
- Therefore $S^{-1}M \rightarrow I$

- Hence

$$\frac{d\phi}{d\tau} = T(\phi) - \phi$$

- Intuition?

Summary

- Have motivated the concept of E-Stability
 - Associated ODE

- Remainder
 - Microfoundations and modeling issues
 - Application in policy design

A Familiar Example

- New Keynesian model

$$x_t = E_t x_{t+1} - \sigma (i_t - E_t \pi_{t+1} - r_t)$$

$$\pi_t = kx_t + \beta E_t \pi_{t+1}$$

where $0 < \beta < 1$ and $k, \sigma > 0$.

A Familiar Example II

- Is the correct analogue under learning

$$x_t = \hat{E}_t x_{t+1} - \sigma (i_t - \hat{E}_t \pi_{t+1} - r_t)$$

$$\pi_t = kx_t + \beta \hat{E}_t \pi_{t+1}$$

where

$$\hat{E}_t z_{t+1} = a_{t-1} + b_{t-1} z_t$$

and $z_t = [x_t \ \pi_t \ r_t]'$

Modeling Learning Dynamics: Some Issues

- Intertemporal optimization implies multi-period forecasts
 - Fundamental to macroeconomic analysis
- Intertemporal budget constraints central to this theory
 - Partial Equilibrium: Marcet and Sargent (1989)
 - General Equilibrium: Preston (2005)

Model Agents

- Households
- Firms
- Monetary authority
- Fiscal authority

Model Features

- Money or cashless limit
- Monopolistic competition/nominal rigidities
- Incomplete asset markets
- No capital
- Non-rational expectations

Beliefs

Under rational expectations:

1. Agents optimize given beliefs
2. The probabilities they assign to future state variables coincide with the predictions of the model

This paper retains (1) and replaces (2) with

- 2'. Future state variables outside agent's control are forecasted using an econometric model.

Knowledge

- Know own preferences and constraints
- Do not know true economic model of determination of variables outside their control.
 - E.g. even if $Y_t^i = Y_t^j = Y_t$ in EQ \nRightarrow agents know $Y_t^i = Y_t^j$
- Observe aggregate variables and disturbances
- Forecast variables outside their control using an atheoretical VAR
 - Takes the minimum state variable form

Household Problem

- Households seek to maximize

$$\hat{E}_t^i \sum_{T=t}^{\infty} \beta^{T-t} [U(C_T^i; \xi_T) - v(h_T^i; \xi_T)]$$

subject to

$$\begin{aligned} B_t^i &\leq (1 + i_{t-1}) B_{t-1}^i + w_t h_t^i + \int_0^1 \Pi_t(j) dj - T_t - P_t C_t^i \\ &= (1 + i_{t-1}) B_{t-1}^i + P Y_t^i - T_t - P_t C_t^i \end{aligned}$$

- Beliefs: a-theoretical VAR of exogenous variables
- Nests MSV REE

First order conditions

- Log linear approximation yields

$$\hat{C}_t^i = \hat{E}_t^i \hat{C}_{t+1}^i - \sigma \left(i_t - \hat{E}_t^i \pi_{t+1} - g_t + \hat{E}_t^i g_{t+1} \right)$$

and

$$\hat{E}_t^i \sum_{T=t}^{\infty} \beta^{T-t} \hat{C}_T^i = A_t^i + \hat{E}_t^i \sum_{T=t}^{\infty} \beta^{T-t} \hat{Y}_T^i$$

where

$$A_t^i = B_t^i / P_t \bar{Y}; \quad g_t = \xi_t u_{c\xi} / u_c; \quad \sigma^{-1} = -u_{cc} \bar{Y} / u_c$$

Optimal decision rule

- First order conditions imply

$$\hat{C}_t^i = (1 - \beta) A_t^i + \hat{E}_t^i \sum_{T=t}^{\infty} \beta^{T-t} \left[(1 - \beta) \hat{Y}_T^i - \beta \sigma (\hat{i}_T - \pi_{T+1}) + \beta (g_T - g_{T+1}) \right]$$

- Forecasts about prices into the indefinite future matter!

Comparison to Existing Approaches

- Assume $A_t^i = 0$ and quasi difference optimal decision rule

$$\hat{C}_t^i = (1 - \beta) \hat{Y}_t - \beta \sigma (\hat{i}_t - \hat{E}_t \pi_{t+1}) + \beta (g_t - \hat{E}_t g_{t+1}) + \beta \hat{E}_t \hat{C}_{t+1}^i$$

- Assuming market clearing conditions known: i.e: $C_t^i = C_t = Y_t$ gives

$$\hat{C}_t^i = \hat{E}_t^i \hat{C}_{t+1}^i - \sigma (i_t - \hat{E}_t^i \pi_{t+1} - g_t + \hat{E}_t g_{t+1})$$

Comparison to Existing Approaches II

- Are decisions implied by the optimal decision equivalent to those implied by

$$\hat{C}_t^i = \hat{E}_t^i \hat{C}_{t+1}^i - \sigma (i_t - \hat{E}_t^i \pi_{t+1} - g_t + \hat{E}_t^i g_{t+1})$$

- Where forecasts determined by the model

$$z_t^* = a_{t-1} + b_{t-1} z_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t$$

with $z_t^* = [\hat{C}_t^i \ \pi_t \ i_t \ g_t]'$ and $z_t = [\hat{Y}_t \ \pi_t \ i_t \ g_t]'$

Comparison to Existing Approaches II

- Are decisions implied by the optimal decision equivalent to those implied by

$$\hat{C}_t^i = \hat{E}_t^i \hat{C}_{t+1}^i - \sigma \left(i_t - \hat{E}_t^i \pi_{t+1} - g_t + \hat{E}_t^i g_{t+1} \right)$$

- Where forecasts determined by the model

$$z_t^* = a_{t-1} + b_{t-1} z_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t$$

$$\text{with } z_t^* = \left[\hat{C}_t^i \ \pi_t \ i_t \ g_t \right]' \text{ and } z_t = \left[\hat{Y}_t \ \pi_t \ i_t \ g_t \right]'$$

- In general - No!
- Optimal decision rule if expectations treated correctly
 - i.e. the conditional expectation of consumption induced by model primitives and optimality

Forecasting Consumption

- Assuming $A_t^i = 0$ for all i the optimal forecast

$$\hat{E}_t^i \hat{C}_{t+1}^i = \hat{E}_t^i \sum_{T=t+1}^{\infty} \beta^{T-t} \left[(1 - \beta) \hat{Y}_T^i - \beta \sigma (\hat{i}_T - \pi_{T+1}) + \beta (v_T - v_{T+1}) \right]$$

- Not the same as

$$\hat{E}_t^i z_{t+1}^* = a_{t-1} + b_{t-1} z_t$$

Commentary

- Market clearing conditions are part of the REE
- Does not deliver optimal decision rule
 - Confuses endogenous decision variables and exogenous state variables
 - The correct distribution with respect to which expectations are taken is induced from the decision problem and properties of exogenous variables
 - In general intertemporal budget constraint will be violated in this formulation

Aggregate Consumption Dynamics

- Aggregating over the continuum provides

$$\hat{C}_t = \hat{E}_t \sum_{T=t}^{\infty} \beta^{T-t} \left[(1 - \beta) \hat{Y}_T - \beta \sigma (\hat{i}_T - \pi_{T+1}) + \beta (g_T - g_{T+1}) \right]$$

where

$$\hat{C}_t \equiv \int \hat{C}_t^i di; \quad \hat{E}_t \equiv \int \hat{E}_t^i di; \quad 0 \equiv \int A_t^i di$$

- For any variable X_t , $\hat{E}_t \hat{E}_{t+1} X_{t+1} \neq \hat{E}_t X_{t+1}$

Summary

- Under rational expectations equilibrium probability laws satisfy all relevant constraints
- Under learning dynamics expectations about future endogenous decision variables are taken with respect to a distribution
 - Induced by beliefs about exogenous states
 - Induced by optimal decisions

Firms

- Continuum of firms maximize

$$\hat{E}_t^j \sum_{T=t}^{\infty} \alpha^{T-t} Q_{t,T} [\Pi_T^j(p_t(j))]$$

where

$$\Pi_T^j(p) = Y_T P_T^\theta p^{1-\theta} - w_T^i f^{-1}(Y_T P_T^\theta p^{-\theta} / A_T)$$

$$y_t^i = A_t f(h_t^i)$$

Optimal Price Setting

- Log-linear approximation implies

$$p_t^j = \hat{E}_t^j \sum_{T=t}^{\infty} (\alpha\beta)^{T-t} \left[\frac{(1 - \alpha\beta)(\omega + \sigma^{-1})}{1 + \omega\theta} x_T + \pi_T \right]$$

- Infinite horizon forecasts matter
- $x_t = Y_t - \hat{Y}_t^n$ is the output gap

Model Summary

- Aggregate demand and supply:

$$x_t = \hat{E}_t \sum_{T=t}^{\infty} \beta^{T-t} [(1 - \beta) x_{T+1} - \sigma (i_T - \pi_{T+1} - r_T)]$$

$$\pi_t = \kappa x_t + \hat{E}_t \sum_{T=t}^{\infty} (\alpha\beta)^{T-t} [\kappa\alpha\beta x_{T+1} + (1 - \alpha)\beta\pi_{T+1}]$$

Rational Expectations

- Under this assumption $\hat{E}_t \hat{E}_{t+1} X_{t+1} = \hat{E}_t X_{t+1}$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} x_t &= E_t [(1 - \beta) x_{t+1} - \sigma (i_t - \pi_{t+1} - r_t)] + \\ &\quad \hat{E}_t \sum_{T=t+1}^{\infty} \beta^{T-t} [(1 - \beta) x_{T+1} - \sigma (i_T - \pi_{T+1} - r_T)] \\ &= E_t [(1 - \beta) x_{T+1} - \sigma (i_t - \pi_{t+1} - r_t)] + \beta E_t x_{t+1} \\ &= E_t x_{t+1} - \sigma (i_t - E_t \pi_{t+1} - r_t) \end{aligned}$$

- Rational expectations analysis gives

$$x_t = E_t x_{t+1} - \sigma (i_t - E_t \pi_{t+1} - r_t)$$

$$\pi_t = kx_t + \beta E_t \pi_{t+1}$$

- Bullard and Mitra (2002) and Evans and Honkapohja (2003)

$$x_t = \hat{E}_t x_{t+1} - \sigma (i_t - \hat{E}_t \pi_{t+1} - r_t)$$

$$\pi_t = kx_t + \beta \hat{E}_t \pi_{t+1}$$

Does any of this matter?

Application: Policy Design

- Early literature concerned with robustness and equilibrium selection
- Models with learning also permit addressing critiques of policy
 - Friedman (1968)

An Interest Rate Peg

- Consider the policy $i_t = \phi_r r_t$
 - Indeterminacy: Sargent and Wallace (1975)

- Agent forecasts given by

$$\hat{E}_t z_T = a_{t-1} + b_{t-1} \rho^{T-t} r_t$$

for $z_t = [\pi_t \ x_t \ i_t]'$. Combined with structural model gives mapping

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{da}{d\tau} &= T(a) - a \\ \frac{db}{d\tau} &= T(b) - b \end{aligned}$$

Stability Analysis Stability Analysis

- Straightforward to show under optimal decisions

$$T'(a) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{(1-\alpha)\beta}{1-\alpha\beta} + \frac{\kappa\sigma}{1-\beta} & \frac{\kappa\alpha\beta}{1-\alpha\beta} + \kappa & -\frac{\kappa\alpha\beta}{1-\alpha\beta} \\ \frac{\sigma}{1-\beta} & 1 & -\frac{\sigma\beta}{1-\beta} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$\det(T'(a) - I) = \frac{\kappa\sigma}{1-\beta} + \frac{\alpha\beta\kappa\sigma}{(1-\beta)(1-\alpha\beta)} > 0$$

- Expectations driven fluctuations
- True for the Euler equation approach: Evans and Honkapohja (2003)

Taylor Rule

- McCallum (1983): conditioning on endogenous variables resolves indeterminacy
- Consider the rule

$$i_t = \phi_\pi \pi_t + \phi_x x_t$$

- Requires

$$\kappa (\phi_\pi - 1) + (1 - \beta) \phi_x > 1$$

for determinacy under REE and stability under learning

- Bullard and Mitra (2002), Preston (2005)

Emerging differences

- Policy actions that depend on misspecified beliefs can lead to self-fulfilling expectations
- For instance

$$i_t = \phi_\pi \hat{E}_t \pi_{t+1}$$

- Optimal decisions requires

$$\phi_\pi > \frac{1}{1 - \beta} - \frac{\alpha(2 - \beta - \alpha\beta)}{(1 - \alpha)(1 - \alpha\beta)^2}$$

- Euler equation approach requires $\phi_\pi > 1$

Raises important questions

- What is the appropriate use of forecasts in policy design?
- Can instability be mitigated?
 - The role of communication
 - Optimal policy/targeting rules imply a particular kind of dependency of interest rate decisions on forecasts
- Different statistical properties
 - Forecasts, dynamics and policy evaluation